

Infirm effect of phylogeny on morphometric features in a cryptic *Gobio* species complex

Péter Takács | ORCID: 0000-0001-5247-7597

Balaton Limnological Research Institute, Klebelsberg Kuno street 3., Tihany, 8237, Hungary
takacs.peter@blki.hu

Gabor Maasz

Soós Ernő Research and Development Center, University of Pannonia, 8800 Nagykanizsa,
Hungary

Zita Zrínyi

Soós Ernő Research and Development Center, University of Pannonia, 8800 Nagykanizsa,
Hungary

Nóra Boross

Balaton Limnological Research Institute, Klebelsberg Kuno street 3., Tihany, 8237, Hungary

Zoltán Vitál

National Agricultural Research and Innovation Centre, Research Institute for Fisheries and
Aquaculture, Anna-liget u. 35, Szarvas 5540, Hungary

Dóra Ildikó Kánainé Sipos | ORCID: 0000-0001-7647-9861

Department of Molecular Ecology, Institute of Aquaculture and Environmental Safety, Hungarian University of Agricultural and Life Sciences, Gödöllő, 2100, Hungary

Bálint Bánó | ORCID: 0000-0001-7734-4803

Balaton Limnological Research Institute, Klebelsberg Kuno street 3., Tihany, 8237, Hungary

Department of Molecular Ecology, Institute of Aquaculture and Environmental Safety, Hungarian University of Agricultural and Life Sciences, Gödöllő, 2100, Hungary

Ádám Staszny | ORCID: 0000-0002-6166-8333

Department of Freshwater Fish Ecology, Institute of Aquaculture and Environmental Safety, Hungarian University of Agricultural and Life Sciences, Gödöllő, 2100, Hungary

Péter Sály

Centre for Ecological Research, Institute of Aquatic Ecology, Budapest, Karolina street 29., Hungary

Balázs Kovács | ORCID: 0000-0003-1753-5098

Department of Freshwater Fish Ecology, Institute of Aquaculture and Environmental Safety, Hungarian University of Agricultural and Life Sciences, Gödöllő, 2100, Hungary

Supplementary material

TABLE S1 The measured basic Hydrophisico-chemical variables of the samled sites

Site code	T (°C)	pH	O₂ cc. (mg/l)	Conductivity (µS/cm)	TDS (ppm)
Pop1	15.7	7.45	12.4	256	131
Pop2	12.4	7.48	10.9	895	448
Pop3	9.3	7.33	11.2	203	108
Pop4	15.9	7.94	9.6	772	392
Pop5	14.6	8.23	12.2	806	401

TABLE S2 Name and codes of the measured morphometric variables. Start- and endpoints of measured distances presented on Fig. 1D. Variable selection was made by the F-ratios (as the quotient of the among and within sum of squares). The selected 22 variables (where $F > 2.6$) marked with an asterisk (*)

Nº	Name of variable	Code	Start-point	End-point	Among group sum of squares	Within group sum of squares	F-ratio
1.	Standard length	SL	1	2	-	-	-
2.	Fork length	Var02	1	3	2.05	1.37	1.50
3.	Predorsal length	Var03*	1	4	17.64	1.9	9.282
4.	Preanal length	Var04	1	5	0.6	0.74	0.808
5.	Prepelvic distance	Var05*	1	6	4.81	1.63	2.953
6.	Prepectoral distance	Var06	1	7	1.44	1.99	0.724
7.	Distance between the tip of snout and ventral end of opercle	Var07*	1	8	6.39	0.74	8.595
8.	Mouth size	Var08*	1	9	5.48	1.06	5.170
9.	Head length	Var09	1	10	0.52	0.37	1.391
10.	Distance between the tip of snout and occiput	Var10*	1	11	5.07	0.54	9.317
11.	Preorbital distance	Var11*	1	12	3.93	0.73	5.382
12.	Horizontal eye diameter	Var12	12	13	0.67	0.33	2.052
13.	Postorbital distance	Var13	13	10	0.02	0.17	0.131
14.	Vertical eye diameter	Var14*	14	15	1.52	0.26	5.752
15.	Distance between the anterior eye margin and the ventral end of opercle	Var15	8	12	0.37	0.14	2.598
16.	Distance between ventral end of opercle and the origin or first dorsal fin ray	Var16*	8	4	0.8	0.29	2.814
17.	Length of first dorsal fin ray	Var17*	4	16	15.01	1.06	14.23
18.	Distance between the origin of dorsal fin and the upper lobe origin of caudal fin	Var18*	4	17	7.17	1.06	6.764
19.	Distance between the origin of dorsal fin and the lower lobe origin of caudal fin	Var19	4	18	1.79	1.23	1.454
20.	Distance between the origin of dorsal fin and origin of anal fin	Var20*	4	5	3.91	1.14	3.419
21.	Distance between the occiput and the origin of dorsal fin	Var21*	4	11	3.28	0.86	3.818
22.	Distance between the origin of dorsal fin and origin of pectoral fin	Var22*	4	7	9.62	0.8	11.98
23.	Maximum body depth	Var23*	4	19	19.47	0.8	24.34
24.	Distance between the origin of dorsal fin and origin of pelvic fin	Var24*	4	6	13.91	0.96	14.54
25.	Length of pelvic fin	Var25*	6	20	6.88	0.8	8.563
26.	Distance between the occiput and the origin of pelvic fin	Var26	6	11	1.6	1.4	1.149
27.	Distance between the ventral end of opercle and the origin of pelvic fin	Var27*	6	8	6.58	1.71	3.842
28.	Distance between the origin of anal fin and the upper lobe origin of caudal fin	Var28*	5	17	7.5	2.07	3.625
29.	Length of anal fin	Var29*	5	21	19.37	1.34	14.48
30.	Length of caudal peduncle	Var30*	5	18	3.9	1.27	3.062
31.	Length of lower lobe of caudal fin	Var31	18	22	3.65	1.9	1.922
32.	Height of caudal peduncle	Var32	17	18	2.01	1.57	1.279
33.	Length of upper lobe of caudal fin	Var33*	17	23	2.08	0.22	9.268

34. Length of pectoral fin	Var34*	7	24	4.8	1.56	3.077
35. Length of dorsal fin base	Var35	4	25	4.53	2.95	1.537

TABLE S3 Pairwise group differences by the results of the conducted CVA analyses. squared Mahalanobis distances (upper left) and Pairwise Hotelling's p values (downer right) Significant p values (where $p < 0.05$) are highlighted in red. GMS: geometric morphometry of body, GMS: geometric morphometry of scales, DBM: distance based method. Gsp1: *Gobio "sp1"*, Gobt: *Gobio obtusirostris*, South: „Southern haplogroup”

Method	GMB			GMS			DBM		
	G. sp1	G. obt	South	G. sp1	G. obt	South	G. sp1	G. obt	South
Phylogenetic	G. sp1	-	9.539	13.936			G. sp1	-	8.876
	G. obt	0.0000	-	3.350			G. obt	0.0000	2.287
	South	0.0000	0.0657	-			South	0.0000	0.4803
Population	Pop1	Pop2	Pop3	Pop4	Pop5	Pop1	Pop2	Pop3	Pop4
	Pop1	-	10.361	10.049	19.776	18.221	Pop1	-	9.101
	Pop2	0.1344	-	14.681	22.988	21.994	Pop2	0.2550	-
	Pop3	0.1093	0.0114	-	14.712	12.813	Pop3	0.4212	13.173
	Pop4	0.0015	0.0005	0.0067	-	5.078	Pop4	0.0020	23.396
	Pop5	0.0003	0.0000	0.0026	0.8004	-	Pop5	0.0044	15.191
Sex	Male	Female				Male	Female		
	Male	-	4.1000			Male	-	0.746	
	Female	0.0000	-			Female	0.0877	-	

TABLE S4 Number and percentage of correctly classified cases. GMS: geometric morphometry of body, GMS: geometric morphometry of scales, DBM: distance based method. The numbers and percentage of correctly classified cases (ccc) using a certain grouping and a certain methodology is indicated by bold letter type

Method	GMB				GMS				DBM			
	G. sp1	G. obt.	South	Total	G. sp1	G. obt.	South	Total	G. sp1	G. obt.	South	Total
Phylogenetic	G. sp1 37	1	0	38	G. sp1 23	8	7	38	G. sp1 34	3	1	38
	G. obt 2	27	8	37	G. obt 7	20	10	37	G. obt 5	21	11	37
	South 0	5	22	27	South 4	5	18	27	South 1	5	21	27
	Total 39	33	30	102	Total 34	33	35	102	Total 40	29	33	102
	ccc 84%				ccc 60%				ccc 75%			
Population	Pop1 15	2	1	0	1	19	Pop1 12	1	1	2	3	19
	Pop2 0	19	0	0	0	19	Pop2 1	11	2	3	2	19
	Pop3 0	0	20	0	0	20	Pop3 3	2	12	1	2	20
	Pop4 0	0	0	17	3	20	Pop4 3	2	1	14	0	20
	Pop5 0	1	3	1	19	24	Pop5 1	3	2	3	15	24
	Total 15	22	24	18	23	102	Total 20	19	18	23	22	102
	ccc 88%				ccc 63%				ccc 77%			
Sex	Male 46	9	55		Male 33	22	55		Male 43	12	55	
	Female 7	40	47		Female 14	33	47		Female 13	34	47	
	Total 53	49	102		Total 47	55	102		Total 56	46	102	
	ccc 84%				ccc 65%				ccc 75%			